

BEFORE THE  
ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF:

ILLINOIS BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY  
(SBC ILLINOIS) and GLOBAL TELDATA  
INC.

No. 04-0485

Joint Petition for Approval of 4th )  
Amendment to the Interconnection )  
Agreement dated July 20, 2004, )  
pursuant to 47 U.S.C., Section 252. )

Chicago, Illinois

August 25, 2004

Met pursuant to notice at 10:15 a.m.

BEFORE :

MR. JOHN RILEY, Administrative Law Judge.

APPEARANCES :

MR. JAMES HUTTENHOWER,  
225 West Randolph Street,  
Chicago, Illinois 60606,  
appeared for Illinois Bell;

MS. BRANDY D.B. BROWN,  
160 North LaSalle Street,  
Chicago, Illinois 60601,  
appeared for Commission Staff.

SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by  
Teresann B. Giorgi, CSR

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

I N D E X

WITNESSES:

DIRECT

CROSS

BY EXAMINER

NONE

EXHIBITS

STAFF'S

FOR IDENTIFICATION

IN EVIDENCE

1

-----

5

1 JUDGE RILEY: Pursuant to the direction of the  
2 Illinois Commerce Commission, I now call  
3 Docket 04-0485. This is a Joint Petition between  
4 Illinois Bell Telephone Company (SBC Illinois) and  
5 Global Teldata, Incorporated, for approval of the  
6 4th Amendment to the Interconnection Agreement,  
7 dated July 20, 2004, pursuant to 47 U.S.C.,  
8 Section 252.

9 Mr. Huttenhower, would you enter an  
10 appearance for the record, please.

11 MR. HUTTENHOWER: James Huttenhower, appearing  
12 on behalf of Illinois Bell Telephone, 225 West  
13 Randolph Street, Suite 25-D, Chicago, Illinois  
14 60606.

15 JUDGE RILEY: Thank you.

16 And for Staff?

17 MS. BROWN: Brandy D.B. Brown on behalf Staff of  
18 the Illinois Commerce Commission, 160 North LaSalle  
19 Street, Suite C-800, Chicago, Illinois 60601.

20 JUDGE RILEY: Thank you.

21 And Mr. Lieberman, I take it you're  
22 participating in as an advisor, or just in an

1 advisory capacity?

2 MR. LIEBERMAN: No, I'm the chief operating  
3 officer of Global Teldata, party to the Amendment.

4 JUDGE RILEY: Okay. Well, under any  
5 circumstances, as is customary in these matters I  
6 first turn to Staff and ask whether or not a  
7 verified statement has been filed in this matter?

8 MS. BROWN: Yes. Staff has filed what has been  
9 marked as Staff Exhibit No. 1, the Verified  
10 Statement of Tortsen Clausen, which states that  
11 there is nothing discriminatory and nothing against  
12 the public interest. Subject to the usual post  
13 approval requirements, specifically, amending the  
14 tariff to include the negotiated Agreement and  
15 filing a verified statement with the Chief Clerk of  
16 the Commission, we move for admission of Staff  
17 Exhibit 1, the Verified Statement of Tortsen Clausen  
18 to the record evidence found on e-docket previously  
19 filed on August 24th, 2004, and bears Tracking  
20 No. 50384.

21 JUDGE RILEY: Does SBC have any objection to the  
22 admission of Staff Exhibit 1 into evidence?

1           MR. HUTTENHOWER:   We do not.

2           JUDGE RILEY:   Mr. Lieberman, does Global Teldata  
3 have any objection to the admission of Staff  
4 Exhibit 1 into evidence?

5           MR. LIEBERMAN:   No, sir.

6           JUDGE RILEY:   Staff Exhibit 1 is admitted into  
7 evidence.

8                               (Whereupon, Staff's Exhibit  
9                               No. 1 was admitted into  
10                              evidence.)

11          JUDGE RILEY:   Did Staff have anything further?

12          MS. BROWN:   Nothing further.

13          JUDGE RILEY:   Anything from SBC?

14          MR. HUTTENHOWER:   Nothing further.

15          JUDGE RILEY:   Is there anything further from  
16 Global Teldata?

17          MR. LIEBERMAN:   No, sir.

18          JUDGE RILEY:   Then, I will direct the Court  
19 Reporter to mark this matter heard and taken.

20                            Thank you.

21                                       HEARD AND TAKEN

22